1	Gary M. Hoffman (Pro Hac Vice)	Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
2	Kenneth W. Brothers (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN	Denise DeMory HOWREY LLP
3	& OSHINSKY, LLP 2101 L Street, NW	525 Market Street Suite 3600
4	Washington, DC 20037-1526 Phone (202) 785-9700	San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone (415) 848-4900
5	Fax (202) 887-0689	Attorneys for Defendants and Synopsys, Inc.
	Edward A. Meilman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
6	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP	
7	1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2714	
8	Phone (212) 835-1400 Fax (212) 997-9880	
9	Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715	
10	Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008	0 DEMAIN
11	ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & 177 Post Street, Suite 300	& DEMAIN
12	San Francisco, California 94108 Phone (415) 421-7151	
13	Fax (415) 362-8064	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd.	
15	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTR	DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	NORTHERN DISTR	
16 17	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC.,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION
16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC.,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ
16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ
16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v.	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ
16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON RICOH'S MOTION TO COMPEL
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON RICOH'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AGAINST MATROX
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, v.	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON RICOH'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AGAINST MATROX
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, v. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION CASE NO. C-03-2289-MJJ CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON RICOH'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AGAINST MATROX

1	Ricoh Company, Ltd., Synopsys, Inc., and Defendants Aeroflex, Inc., et al. (collectively "the	
2	Parties"), hereby stipulate to the following briefing schedule on Ricoh's Notice Of Motion And Motion To	
3	Compel Discovery Against the Matrox Defendants. Defendants shall file their opposition to the motion by	
4	Friday, June 23, 2006. Ricoh's reply brief shall be filed by Wednesday, June 28, 2006. The Court will	
5	establish a time for the hearing, which may be conducted telephonically.	
6		
7	Dated: June 23, 2006	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY
8		
9		By: /s/ Kenneth W. Brothers
1011		Gary M. Hoffman Kenneth W. Brothers
12	Dated: June 23, 2006	Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd. HOWREY, LLP
13	Dated. Julie 23, 2000	HOWKET, LEF
14		
15		By: /s/ Denise De Mory Teresa M. Corbin
16		Denise De Mory Attorneys for Defendants and Synopys
17	SO ORDERED:	Automeys for Derending and Synopys
18	SO ORBERED.	STATE OF THE STATE
19		IT IS SO ORDERED
20		By: United States Judge Edward M. Chen
21	Dated: June <u>27</u> , 2006	Judge 22
22		DISTRICT OF C
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28